

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/722,602		11/27/2000	Frances H. Arnold	9373/IG811US1	9373/IG811US1 5781	
41790	7590	01/27/2006		EXAMINER		
BUCHANA	AN INGE	RSOLL LLP	PAK, YONG D			
(INCLUDIN	IG BURNS	S, DOANE, SWECK	(ER & MATHIS)			
12230 EL C	AMINO R	EAL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 300			1652			
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130				DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/722,602	ARNOLD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Yong D. Pak	1652		

before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Yong D. Pak	1652						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.						
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. Satutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since an incomposition.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		pecause					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant , anonament	(1.102.02.1).					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	-						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>111</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>63-90, 93-94, 97-110 and 113-127</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13.  Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/722,602

Art Unit: 1652

#### **ADVISORY ACTION**

Claims 19-39 and 90-140 are pending and are under consideration.

### Response to Arguments

The amendment filed on November 2, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because: the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The amendment has not been entered for the following reasons:

The amendment adds new claims, claims 130-131 drawn to an isolated host cell comprising the nucleic acid of claims 128 or 129, which was never presented in any previously filed amendments. If the amendment is entered, claims 130-131 would have to be withdrawn since the claims are independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons. Host cells and polypeptides are structurally distinct molecules: host cells are living microorganisms and polypeptides are chemical compounds. Alternatively, if the amendment is entered, claims 130-131 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 for reading on host cell as they exist naturally because the claims do not particularly point out any non-naturally occurring differences between the claimed products and the naturally occurring products, such as being "transformed". Therefore, the newly amended claims warrant further consideration on the entered particular and the natural claims warrant further consideration of these newly.

Application/Control Number: 09/722,602

Art Unit: 1652

In view of the non-entry of the above amendment filed on November 2, 2005, all previous rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 111 is allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Yong D. Pak Patent Examiner 1652 Manjunath Rao໌

Primary Patent Examiner 1652